UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,574	02/05/2004	Ronald G. Thieman	70026260-0002-103	2255
54243 JOHN V. DAN	7590 02/12/2007 JII I I CK		EXAM	INER
BINGHAM McHALE LLP			DESAI, HEMANT	
2700 MARKET TOWER 10 W. MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPOI	LIS, IN 46204		3721	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/12/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/772,574	THIEMAN, RONALD G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hemant M. Desai	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	10 November 2006.					
·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>84 and 86-96</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>84 and 86-88</u> is/are rejected.	⊠ Claim(s) <u>84 and 86-88</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>89-96</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>89-96</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	8) Paper No	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/2006.	5) Notice of 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/772,574

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/10/2006 has been entered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 3721

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claim 84 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,499,272. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 6 of U.S. Patent ('272) discloses to provide a pair of fastener strips having inter-lockable profiles, placing the plurality of sliders on the pair of inter-lockable-fastener profiles, fusing (placing end-stops is by fusing a plurality of portions, see claim 8) together a portion of the inter-lockable profiles at plurality of location and attaching the inter-lockable fastener strips to the web of flexible film after the placing the plurality of sliders and after the fusing at a plurality of locations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3721

5. Claim 86 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herrington (5088971).

Herrington discloses an apparatus for making a re-closeable bag having a width, comprising a pair of fastener strips having inter-lockable profiles having a length (11, fig. 1), a plurality of sliders (10, fig. 1) coupled to the fastener strips, wherein the inter-lockable profiles are fused together at a plurality of positions along the length (see col. 4, lines 22-68), each fused position being separated from adjacent fused positions by about the width of a bag (see fig. 1), which meets all the claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 87 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrington (5088971) in view of Herrington et al. (5020194).

Herrington, as mentioned above, discloses all the claimed limitations, except for the fastener strips being notched at plurality of positions. However, Herrington et al. ('194) teach the fastener strip (19, 20, fig. 15) being notched (15b, 16b, fig. 15) to provide a leak-proof closure for the bag (see col. 5, lines 25-35). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided the fastener strip being notched as taught by Herrington et al.

Art Unit: 3721

in the apparatus of Herrington ('971) for attachment to a flexible, re-closeable bag to provide a leak-proof closure for the bag.

8. Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrington (5088971) in view of Wiley (5769772).

Herrington, as mentioned above, discloses all the claimed limitations, except for the fastener strips include flanges, and which further comprises tamper evident seals. However, Wiley teaches the fastener strips (36, 32, fig. 1) including flanges (28, 34, fig. 1), and which further comprises tamper evident seals (38, fig. 1) to prevent the tampering of bag. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided the fastener strips including flanges and which further comprises tamper evident seals as taught by Wiley in the apparatus of Herrington ('971) for attachment to a flexible, re-closeable bag to provide tamper evident seal.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 89-96 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 84, 86-96 has been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3721

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM-5:00 PM, Mon-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hemant M Desai Examiner Art Unit 3721

HMD

HEMANT M. DESAI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Cemant M. Seshi.